



TITLE:

# Isomorphism Problem of Endomorphisms (エルゴード理論 とその周辺)

AUTHOR(S):

KUBO, IZUMI; MURATA, HIROSHI; TOTOKI, HARUO

---

CITATION:

KUBO, IZUMI ...[et al]. Isomorphism Problem of Endomorphisms (エルゴード理論とその  
周辺). 数理解析研究所講究録 1974, 204: 51-58

ISSUE DATE:

1974-03

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/105139>

RIGHT:

*Isomorphism problem of endomorphisms*

Izumi KUBO	(Nagoya Univ.)
Hiroshi MURATA	(Hiroshima Univ.)
Haruo TOTOKI	(RIMS Kyoto Univ.)

§1. *Introduction.* D. S. Ornstein and others got remarkable results in the isomorphism problem of automorphisms. They proved that two Bernoulli shifts with the same entropy are isomorphic and obtained the conditions for automorphisms to be Bernoulli. But in the case of endomorphisms the circumstances are different, for example, two Bernoulli endomorphisms (=one-sided Bernoulli shifts) are not always isomorphic (except trivial cases) even if their entropies are the same. We obtained some results in the isomorphism problem of endomorphisms [1, 2, 3] motivated by the innovation problem in the theory of stationary processes [4] and the existence problem of Bernoulli generators for number-theoretic transformations.

In this talk we summarize the results in [1, 2, 3] without proofs.

§2. *Definitions.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  denote a non-atomic Lebesgue probability space, and  $T$  be an endomorphism of  $X$  (i.e.  $T^{-1}\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mu(T^{-1}A) = \mu(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ).

An endomorphism  $T$  of  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is called *Bernoulli* if there exists a measurable partition  $P$  of  $X$  such that

$$(i) \bigvee_0^\infty T^{-n}P = \epsilon, \text{ the partition into the individual points,} \\ (P \text{ is a generator of } T)$$

$$\text{and (ii) } \mu(A | \bigvee_1^\infty T^{-n}P) = \mu(A) \text{ a.e. for all } A \in \mathcal{F}(P) \\ (P \text{ is a B-partition of } T).$$

If there exists a measurable partition  $P$  (with  $n$ -<sup>cells</sup>~~atoms~~,  $2 \leq n \leq \infty$ ) of  $X$  satisfying (i) and

$$(iii) \mu(A | \bigvee_1^\infty T^{-n}P) = \mu(A | T^{-1}P) \text{ a.e. for all } A \in \mathcal{F}(P), \\ (P \text{ is a M-partition of } T)$$

then  $T$  is called an  $(n \times n)$  *Markov endomorphism*.

In these cases  $P$  is called a *B-generator* (*M-generator*, respectively) of  $T$ .

Two endomorphisms  $T_i$  of  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $i=1,2$ , respectively are called *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism (mod. 0)  $\phi$  from  $X_1$  onto  $X_2$  such that  $\phi \circ T_1 = T_2 \circ \phi$ .

### §3. Isomorphism theorem for Bernoulli endomorphisms. [1]

We say that two probability distributions are of the *same type* if there exists a 1-1 correspondence between their atoms (point masses) such that the corresponding atoms have the same measure.

*Theorem 1.* Let  $T_i$  be Bernoulli endomorphisms of  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i)$  with B-generators  $P_i$ ,  $i=1,2$ , respectively. Then they are isomorphic if and only if the distributions of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are of the same type.

This theorem is essentially reduced to the following

*Lemma 1.* Let  $T$  be an endomorphism of  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with B-generator  $Q$  and a generator  $P$ . Then the distribution of  $P$  with respect to the conditional measure  $\mu(\cdot|r)$  has the same type as that of  $Q$  for a.e.  $r \in \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}P$ .

We will now discuss the uniqueness of B-generators of  $T$ . Let  $T$  be an endomorphism of  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  and put

$$\mu_T(x) = \mu(\{x\} | C_{T^{-1}\epsilon}(x))$$

where  $C_{T^{-1}\epsilon}(x)$  denotes the cell of the partition  $T^{-1}\epsilon$  containing  $x$ . Note that if  $T$  has a B-generator  $P=\{p_i\}$ , then  $\mu_T(x)=\mu(p_i | C_{T^{-1}\epsilon}(x))=\mu(p_i)$  a.e.  $x \in p_i$ . Since the function  $\mu_T$  is measurable, we can define a measurable partition  $R_T$  of  $X$  generated by  $\mu_T$  and call it the *proper partition* of  $T$ .

*Theorem 2.* If  $T$  has a countable B-generator with distinct probabilities, then  $R_T$  is the unique B-generator of  $T$ .

#### §4. A necessary condition for an endomorphism to be Bernoulli.

By Lemma 1 the following condition (U) is necessary for an endomorphism  $T$  on  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with a generator  $P=\{p_i\}$  to be countable Bernoulli :

(U) There exists a probability vector  $\rho=(\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots)$

with positive  $\rho_i$ 's such that  $\mu_T(x) = \mu(p_i | C_{T^{-1}\epsilon}(x)) = \rho_i$  a.e.  $x \in p_i$ .

In the case that  $T$  is a Markov endomorphism with a  $M$ -generator  $P$  satisfying (U),  $(T, P)$  is called *uniform* (see [4]).

On the other hand we can prove the following

**Lemma 2.** If an endomorphism  $T$  on  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with a generator  $P = \{p_i\}$  satisfies the condition (U) with distinct  $\rho_i$ 's, then the proper partition  $R_T$  is a  $B$ -partition of  $T$ .

(This is proved in [1] in the case  $(T, P)$  is Markovian)

#### §5. Number-theoretic transformations. [2]

Now we will introduce three well-known endomorphisms in  $(0,1]$  or  $[0,1)$  :

$$Tx = \{1/x\}, \quad x \in (0,1] \quad (\text{continued-fraction}),$$

$$Tx = \{\beta x\}, \quad x \in [0,1) \quad (\beta\text{-expansion}), \quad \beta > 1,$$

$$Tx = \{\beta x + \alpha\}, \quad x \in [0,1) \quad (\text{linear mod. } 1), \quad \beta \geq 2, \quad \alpha \in (0,1),$$

where  $\{y\}$  denotes the fractional part of  $y$ .

Only checking the necessary condition stated in §3 (Lemma 1) for these transformations, we obtain the following

**Theorem 3.** Continued-fraction transformation is neither Bernoulli, nor countable Markov.  $\beta$ -expansion transformations and linear mod. 1 transformations are not Bernoulli except the case of integer  $\beta$ , and if  $\beta$  is an integer these are Bernoulli and isomorphic.

§6. A sufficient condition for  $R_T$  to generate (Markovian case). [1]

It seems difficult to find some conditions for  $R_T$  to be a generator of  $T$  in a general situation, so we will restrict ourselves to the Markovian case.

Let  $T$  be an endomorphism with a  $M$ -generator  $P=\{p_i\}$  and  $\Pi=(\pi_{i,j} ; i,j=1,2,\dots)$  denote the transition matrix defined by

$$\pi_{i,j} = \mu(p_j \mid T^{-1}p_i) \quad i,j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and  $\pi^{(i)}=(\pi_{i,1}, \pi_{i,2}, \dots)$  the  $i$ -th row vector of  $\Pi$ .

Assume now  $T$  and  $P$  satisfy the condition (U) in §4 with distinct  $p_i$ 's. Then given  $k$  and  $i$  we get the unique  $j$  such that  $\pi_{k,j} = p_i$ , so we can define

$$\phi(k ; i) = j \quad \text{if } \pi_{k,j} = p_i,$$

and  $\phi(k ; i_n, \dots, i_1, i_0) = \phi(\phi(k ; i_n, \dots, i_1) ; i_0)$

inductively. Using this notation we have

*Theorem 4.* Let  $T$  be an endomorphism with  $M$ -generator  $P=\{p_i\}$ . In order that  $T$  has a countable  $B$ -generator of which ~~cells~~ atoms have all distinct measures, it is necessary and sufficient that  $P$  satisfies the condition (U) with distinct  $p_i$ 's and also the condition

(P) for any  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $j_0, m, i_m, \dots, i_0$  such that

$$\overbrace{k: \phi(k; i_m, \dots, i_0) = j_0}^{\text{}} \quad \mu(p_k) > 1-\delta.$$

(point-collapsing condition in the terminology of [4])

*Remark.* The assumption of distinctness of  $p_i$ 's is removable,

so we have Theorem 4 without the underlined parts. For example,

the isomorphism between a Markov  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ b & a & a \\ a & a & b \end{pmatrix}$  and a Bernoulli  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ a \end{pmatrix}$

endomorphisms is just obtained as the isomorphism between

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & c & a \\ a & c & b \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$ .

### §7. Isomorphism theorems between Markov endomorphisms. [3]

First we will consider general Markov endomorphisms with countable M-generators.

*Theorem 5.* Let  $T$  and  $S$  be ergodic Markov endomorphisms with transition matrices  $\Pi=(\pi_{i,j})$  and  $\Gamma=(\gamma_{i,j})$  respectively. Then  $T$  and  $S$  are isomorphic if and only if there exists a measurable, integer-valued function  $\eta(x)$  such that

$$(i) \quad \gamma_{\eta(Tx), \xi(x)} = \pi_{\xi(Tx), \xi(x)} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

(ii)  $Q=\{q_j=\{x: \eta(x)=j\} : j=0,1,2,\dots\}$  is a M-generator of  $T$ ,

where  $\xi(x)=j$  if  $x \in p_j$  and  $P=\{p_j\}$  is a M-generator of  $T$  with  $\Pi$ .

Now let  $\pi^{(i)}$  be the  $i$ -th row vector of  $\Pi$  and consider the condition

(D) the distributions of  $\pi^{(i)}$ ,  $i=0,1,2,\dots$  are all different. Then we have

*Theorem 6.* Two ergodic Markov endomorphisms with  $M$ -generators satisfying the condition (D) are isomorphic, if and only if their transition matrices are the same except the numbering of cells of  $M$ -generators.

Next we will study uniform Markov endomorphisms which are not point collapsing. We put the condition

(G) there exists a finite  $M$ -generator of which common distribution  $\rho = (\rho_i ; 0 \leq i \leq N-1)$  consists of distinct  $\rho_i$ 's, and its transition matrix  $\Pi = (\pi_{i,j} ; 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1)$  satisfies  $\pi_{i,j} = \rho_{i+tj+t_0}$ ,  $0 \leq i, j \leq N-1$ , for some  $0 \leq t, t_0 \leq N-1$  such that  $(N, t) = 1$ , where the addition is taken to be mod.  $N$ .

*Theorem 7.* Let  $T$  and  $S$  be Markov endomorphisms with transition matrices  $\Pi = (\pi_{i,j} ; 0 \leq i, j \leq N-1)$  and  $\Gamma = (\gamma_{i,j} ; 0 \leq i, j \leq N-1)$  respectively, which satisfy the condition (G) with the same common distribution  $\rho = (\rho_i ; 0 \leq i \leq N-1)$  (i.e.  $\pi_{i,j} = \rho_{i+tj+t_0}$ ,  $\gamma_{i,j} = \rho_{i+sj+s_0}$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq N-1$ , and  $(N, t) = (N, s) = 1$ ). Then  $T$  and  $S$  are isomorphic if and only if  $t=s$  and  $s_0 - t_0$  is a multiple of  $(t+1, N)$ .

Using these theorems (Theorem 5, 6, 7 and Theorem 4) we can classify  $3 \times 3$  Markov endomorphisms completely, but we have no space to write down it. (See the examples stated in [3])



## References

- [1] I. Kubo, H. Murata and H. Totoki, On the isomorphism problem for endomorphisms of Lebesgue spaces, I, *to appear in* Publ. R.I.M.S. Kyoto Univ. 9, No.2(1973).
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, II, *ibid.*
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, III, *ibid.*
- [4] M. Rosenblatt, Stationary processes as shifts of functions of independent random variables, J. Math. Mech. 8(1959), 665-681.